

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,570	09/09/2003	Martin Morrissey	MCA-616 US	5746
25182 MILLIPORE C	7590 01/24/2008 E CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
290 CONCORD ROAD			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
BILLERICA, N	MA 01821		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/659	,570	MORRISSEY ET AL.
		Examir	ner !	Art Unit
		Leigh M	1cKane	1797
- The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
		D FOR REDUX 10 057	· 	
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	/ER IS LONGER, FROM TH of time may be available under the prov) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	IE MAILING DATE OF isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. um statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the ariths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed 'HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1\⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 00 November	. 2007	
	action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is		•
•		•		rs, prosecution as to the merits is
	ed in accordance with the pr			
Disposition o				,
	m(s) <u>1,2,5-13 and 21-23</u> is/a			
	Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
	m(s) is/are allowed.	wa waiaatad	;	
	m(s) <u>1,2,5-13 and 21-23</u> is/a m(s) is/are objected t	•		
· —	m(s) is/are objected to re		roquiromost	
, <u> </u>	5.	Striction and/or election	trequitement.	•
Application P		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	specification is objected to b		_	
				objected to by the Examiner.
	icant may not request that any	_		* * *
			•	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
ii) 🗀 iile (oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	, the second		
•	y vin	aim for foreign priority (
12)∐ Ackn	r 35 U.S.C. § 119 owledgment is made of a cl s b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None c			
12)∐ Ackn	owledgment is made of a cl	of:	under 35 U.S.C. §	
12)∐ Ackn a)∐ All	owledgment is made of a cliss b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price	of: prity documents have b	under 35 U.S.C. § een received.	119(a)-(d) or (f).
12)	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the price copies	of: prity documents have b prity documents have b	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap	119(a)-(d) or (f).
12)	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the price Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal	of: prity documents have bority documents have book prity documents have book prices of the priority documents of the priority documents.	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap ments have been r lule 17 2(a)).	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
12)	owledgment is made of a climate by Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies.	of: prity documents have bority documents have book prity documents have book prices of the priority documents of the priority documents.	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap ments have been r lule 17 2(a)).	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
12)	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the price Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal	of: prity documents have bority documents have book prity documents have book prices of the priority documents of the priority documents.	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap ments have been r lule 17 2(a)).	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
12)	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the price Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal	of: prity documents have bority documents have book prity documents have book prices of the priority documents of the priority documents.	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap ments have been r lule 17 2(a)).	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
12)	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the price Certified copies of the price Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal	of: prity documents have bority documents have book prity documents have book prices of the priority documents of the priority documents.	under 35 U.S.C. § een received. een received in Ap ments have been r lule 17 2(a)).	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
12) Ackn a) All 1. 2. 3. 3. 4 * See th Attachment(s) 1) Notice of R	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the prior Certified copies of the prior Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies application from the Internate attached detailed Office efferences Cited (PTO-892)	of: prity documents have be prity documents have be prity documents have be pries of the priority documents prices of the priority documents prices of the center of the	een received. een received in Apments have been related to the retrief of the received in Apments have been related to the received in the rec	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage eceived.
12) Ackn a) All 1. 2. 3. 3. 4 * See th Attachment(s) 1) Notice of R 2) Notice of D	owledgment is made of a class b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the prior Certified copies of the prior Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies application from the Internal attached detailed Office	of: ority documents have be ority documents o	een received. een received in Apments have been received in Apment	119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Padgett (US 4,644,586) or Trewella et al. (US 3,073,507).

Padgett teaches a bag 10 having a first porous section 14 and a second non-porous section 12, the two sections in registry with each other. The porous section may be a nonwoven (col.2, lines 55-57) and the non-porous section may be an SMS polypropylene (polyolefin) laminate having a barrier coating applied thereto (col.3, lines 19-22). After sterilization (steam or ETO), the contents 28 of the bag are moved to the second non-porous section 12 and bag is sealed 38 adjacent the interface between the first and second portions to create a sealed, sterile portion. See col.4, lines 10-38; Figures 4-6.

Trewella et al. teaches a bag 10 having a first porous section 13 and a second non-porous section 11. The porous section of the bag may be paper (nonwoven) and the nonporous section may be a film of polyethylene, a polyolefin. See col.3, lines 14 and 50-64. After steam sterilization the bag may be sealed at an interface 21 between the first and second sections. See Figures 6 and 10; col.4, line 71 to col.5, line 15. The intended use of the device does not structurally limit the bag in any patentable sense.

Art Unit: 1797

As to the recitation of the contents of the bag, it has been held that "expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." See *In re Young*, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)).

Furthermore, as to the contents and the second section being under a vacuum or positive pressure, these limitations are directed to the ultimate intended use of the device and do not limit the bag in any structural manner.

As to claims 5 and 6 and the limitations wherein "the bag and its contents being under a slight vacuum" and "the bag and its contents being under a slight positive pressure" are not considered to be structurally limiting on the bag but are intended uses of the bag.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trewella et al. in view of McDonald (US 6,030,578).

Trewella et al. is silent with respect to a closed collar or port attached to the non-porous

Art Unit: 1797

section. McDonald, however, discloses a container 201 for sterilizing and transferring articles to a sterile enclosure. The container includes a collar 202 for attachment to a sterile enclosure during transferring of the sterilized articles. This collar is certainly capable of use as a pressure or vacuum port, as well. Since the bag of Trewella et al. is disclosed for use in the sterilization of medical articles, it would have been obvious to use the bag of Trewella et al. in the sterile environment of McDonald and when doing so, to provide a collar for connection to the enclosure.

5. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Padgett or Trewella et al., both in view of Massage (US 3,837,215).

Padgett teaches a bag 10 having a first porous section 14 and a second non-porous section 12, the two sections in registry with each other. The porous section may be a nonwoven (col.2, lines 55-57) and the non-porous section may be an SMS polypropylene (polyolefin) laminate having a barrier coating applied thereto (col.3, lines 19-22). After sterilization (steam or ETO), the contents 28 of the bag are moved to the second non-porous section 12 and bag is sealed 38 adjacent the interface between the first and second portions to create a sealed, sterile portion. See col.4, lines 10-38; Figures 4-6.

Trewella et al. teaches a bag 10 having a first porous section 13 and a second non-porous section 11. The porous section of the bag may be paper (nonwoven) and the nonporous section may be a film of polyethylene, a polyolefin. See col.3, lines 14 and 50-64. After steam sterilization the bag may be sealed at an interface 21 between the first and second sections. See Figures 6 and 10; col.4, line 71 to col.5, line 15.

Art Unit: 1797

Page 5

Neither Padgett nor Trewella et al. disclose a step of applying a vacuum or a positive pressure to the second section during or after the formation of the second seal. Massage discloses a method of integrity testing of sealed containers. The containers may be flexible packages/bags (col.1, lines 6-18) and are tested under a vacuum or positive pressure holding after being sealed. See col.3, lines 51-57. As Massage discloses that integrity testing assures that the packages are adequately sealed from contaminants and as both Padgett and Trewella et al. teach sealing the sterilized contents from contaminants, it would have been obvious to employ the method of Massage to test the integrity of the seal of the second section.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 30 July 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that neither Padgett nor Trewella et al. disclose maintaining the second section in a condition of a vacuum or positive pressure. However, as set forth in the rejection, this type of limitation is no more than an intended use of the bag and does not structurally limit the invention. In fact, Applicant seems to be arguing the limitations of the article of manufacture as illustrated in Figure 2 of the instant invention. If Applicant intends to claim the sealed transfer bag in combination with the sterilized articles located therein, wherein the sealed transfer bag is under pressure or vacuum, then the article of manufacture itself should be claimed. As it stands, the instant claims are either article claims drawn to a bag or a process of using the bag.
- 8. With respect to the combination with MacDonald, Applicant asserts that the application of a port to the bags of Padgett and Trewella et al. would be "irrelevant and useless." However,

Art Unit: 1797

as the port of MacDonald would have permitted the bags of Padgett and Trewella et al. to be securely attached to sterile enclosures for transfer of the sterilized articles, the port would have been neither irrelevant nor useless and moreover, would have produced entirely predictable results.

Intersponse to Applicant's arguments that the Examiner has used hindsight to reject the instant claims, the Examiner notes that as indicated by the Supreme Court, any alleged risk of hindsight bias should not be overemphasized so as to defy common sense. See KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S.---, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1397 (2007). Furthermore, the KSR decision makes clear that the teaching, suggestion, or motivation (TSM) test is not the only rationale that may be relied upon to support a conclusion of obviousness. Regardless, in this case the Examiner has provided motivation for the combination.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1797

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh McKane whose telephone number is 571-272-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (5:30 am-2:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leigh McKane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

elm 21 January 2008